



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,995		12/27/2000	Clare E. Woodman	F-180	6429
919	7590	06/23/2003			
PITNEY B			EXAMINER		
35 WATERY P.O. BOX 30		RIVE	PARADISO, JOHN ROGER		
MSC 26-22	,,,,				 -
SHELTON,	CT 0648	84-8000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3721	0/
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003	V/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
4.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/748,995	WOODMAN, CLARE E.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		John R. Paradiso	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	lanuary 2003 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-8}$ is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-15					
J.S. Patent and Tr	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/748,995

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendments

1. In view of the amendments filed 1/30/2003, the objections to the claims and the rejections to the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112 are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by ANDERSON, JR. ET AL (US 6119051).

ANDERSON, JR. ET AL discloses a method of processing mail pieces in which a printstream is generated in a computer (40) and transmitted to printers (50) for printing documents, which are delivered to inserter systems (20). A reprint database is generated as needed by the database server (10) and a supervisor client monitors each job, tracking the printstream, the mail pieces, and generating reprints or reports as needed. (See ANDERSON, JR. ET AL column 3 line 43 to column 4 line 30 and figure 1.)

Application/Control Number: 09/748,995

Art Unit: 3721

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6343327 B2 in view of US Patent 6343327. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because is well known in the art to send printable data to printers and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to feed the printstream first to a printer and then to the inserters in order to make the process more time-efficient.

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to route an alternative feed of the printstream to be transmitted electronically, as disclosed in US Patent 6343327 B2, in order to more quickly transmit the data to the appropriate recipients.

Application/Control Number: 09/748,995

Art Unit: 3721

Reference Citations

7. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

- GOSLING ET AL discloses generation of a remote data printstream and transmitting the printstream to remote computers.
- PINTSOV discloses a method of processing mail pieces using remote data servers and printer/inserter systems.
- SCHUMACHER discloses a method of processing mail pieces using remote data servers and printer/inserter systems.
- GERTNER ET AL discloses a method of processing mail pieces using remote data servers and printer/inserter systems.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

June 16, 2003

Page 4

Additional Phone Numbers

 Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:
 (703) 308-2187
 Fax (Direct to Examiner):
 (703) 746-3253

 Receptionist:
 (703) 308-1148
 Fax (TC 3700 Official):
 (703) 872-9302

 Customer Service:
 (703) 872-9301
 Fax (TC 3700 After Final):
 (703) 872-9303